



Course Evaluation Reports

[Logout](#)

Semester: 201308
 Course #: GEOL789G
 Section #: 0101
 Course Name: GEOSCIENTIFIC MODELING
 Instructor: Evans, M

of Students in Course: 9
 # of Evaluations Submitted: 8
 Response Rate: 88.9%

ALL RESPONSES

ADMINISTRATOR UNIVERSITY-WIDE GROUP/COURSE ITEMS APPLIED TO ALL SECTION INSTRUCTORS

Questions for use by faculty/instructors and for administrative purposes

N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

							GEOL789G 0101		COLLEGE COMPARISON*
	Number of Responses	% Strongly Disagree	% Disagree	% Neutral	% Agree	% Strongly Agree	Mean	Stdv.	Mean
The course was intellectually challenging.	8	0	0	38	50	13	2.75	0.707	3.07
I learned a lot from this course.	8	13	0	13	63	13	2.63	1.188	3.12

* Average rating for all similarly leveled course sections (e.g., all 200-level course sections) in this college.

How does this course fit into your academic plan or course of study? (Number of Responses 8)

13% General Education or CORE Requirement

13% Major/Certificate/Minor/Program Requirement

75% Elective

Additional comments (e.g., about course content/materials, teaching style, etc.):

I enjoyed his teaching style. I would say that he appropriately gauged the work load for the different levels of understanding in MATLAB, but I would suggest at least one or two extra assignments for people with limited understanding of the program so that they have more of a chance to catch up and understand better how the program works. All in all, I learned a lot.

Time could be used more usefully for this class. Instead of describing things that are more or less obvious, teacher can put effort to explain mathematical background of numerical simulations and MatLab commands.

ADMINISTRATOR UNIVERSITY-WIDE INSTRUCTOR ITEMS:

Questions for use by faculty/instructors and for administrative purposes

N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

	Instructor: Evans, M						GEOL789G 0101		COLLEGE COMPARISON*
	Number of Responses	% Strongly Disagree	% Disagree	% Neutral	% Agree	% Strongly Agree	Mean	Stdv.	Mean
The instructor treated students with respect.	8	0	0	13	0	88	3.75	0.707	3.67
The instructor was well-prepared for class.	8	0	13	0	13	75	3.50	1.069	3.43
Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	8	13	0	13	25	50	3.00	1.414	3.31

* Average rating for all similarly leveled course sections (e.g., all 200-level course sections) in this college.

AVERAGE OF FIVE ADMINISTRATOR AGREE/DISAGREE QUESTIONS: 3.13 / 4.00

Scaled 0-4: Strongly Disagree=0; Strongly Agree=4. N/A is not in the average.

The standards the instructor set for students were... (Number of Responses 8)

13% Too Low

88% Appropriate

0% Too High

STUDENT UNIVERSITY-WIDE GROUP/COURSE ITEMS APPLIED TO ALL SECTION INSTRUCTORS

Questions for use by faculty/instructors and students

N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

							GEOL789G 0101		COLLEGE COMPARISON*
	Number of Responses	% Strongly Disagree	% Disagree	% Neutral	% Agree	% Strongly Agree	Mean	Stdv.	Mean

Course guidelines were clearly described in the syllabus.

8 0 0 13 25 63 3.50 0.756 3.21

* Average rating for all similarly leveled course sections (e.g., all 200-level course sections) in this college.

Based on the quality of my work in this course, the grades I earned were (Number of Responses 8)

0% Too Low 100% Appropriate 0% Too High

Given the course level and number of credits, the workload was (Number of Responses 8)

13% Too Low 75% Appropriate 13% Too High

How much effort did you put into the course? (Number of Responses 8)

0% Little 50% Moderate 50% Considerable


STUDENT UNIVERSITY-WIDE INSTRUCTOR ITEMS:

Questions for use by faculty/instructors and students

N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

	Instructor: Evans, M						GEOL789G 0101		COLLEGE COMPARISON*
	Number of Responses	% Strongly Disagree	% Disagree	% Neutral	% Agree	% Strongly Agree	Mean	Stdv.	Mean
The instructor was effective in communicating the content of the course.	8	13	13	13	38	25	2.50	1.414	3.28
The instructor was responsive to student concerns.	8	0	0	0	25	75	3.75	0.463	3.57
The instructor helped create an atmosphere that kept me engaged in course content.	8	13	13	0	25	50	2.88	1.553	3.33

* Average rating for all similarly leveled course sections (e.g., all 200-level course sections) in this college.



Grade distribution is current as of January 9, 2014 and includes students receiving a W for the course.

Select Another Course

[Return to Main Menu](#)

Comments, questions, and suggestions may be directed to the [Division of IT Help Desk](#)